

**REMARKS**

This communication is intended to be fully responsive to the Office Action mailed on December 27, 2004. If any aspect of this response is deemed to be deficient, the Examiner is invited to telephone the below signed attorney.

Claims 10, 13-19, 20, 30-38, and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. With this response, each claim has been amended to address the rejection stated in the Office Action. These amendments were not made in view of prior art. Applicant believes these amendments cure all aforesaid deficiencies. A notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Claim 46 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 38. With this response, claim 46 has been amended to depend from claim 43. Therefore, Applicant believes this rejection is now moot. A notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

By: Brendan J. Hanley  
Brendan J. Hanley  
Reg. No. 52,429  
Intellectual Property Department – NRW097  
Seagate Technology LLC  
7801 Computer Avenue South  
Bloomington, MN 55435  
Telephone: (952) 402-7115  
Attorney for Applicants

Date: 28 March 2005

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8**

Date of Deposit: 28 March 2005

I hereby certify that this Amendment and the documents referred to as attached therein are being transmitted via facsimile to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 on the date indicated above.

Heidi McCarty  
Heidi McCarty